Case 2:24-cv-00308-MKD ECF No. 26 filed 12/06/24 PageID.243 Page 1 of 3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

1 4

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

ORDER - 1

FILED IN THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

Dec 06, 2024

SEAN F. MCAVOY, CLERK

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

ALLSTATE VEHICLE AND PROPERTY INSRUANCE COMPANY,

Plaintiff,

VS.

JEREMY RICHARDSON, individually and as Guardian of D.R., a minor; ANDY LOUIE and CHERYL LOUIE, a married couple, each individually and their marital community comprised thereof,

Defendants.

No. 4:24-CV-00308-MKD

ORDER DENYING STIPULATION OF PARTIAL DISMISSAL OF D.R.

ECF No. 25

Before the Court is parties' Stipulation of Partial Dismissal of D.R. ECF No. 25. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A), a plaintiff may dismiss an action without court order by filing: (i) a notice of dismissal before the opposing party serves either an answer or a motion for summary judgment; or (ii) a stipulation of dismissal signed by all parties who have appeared. *See also Van Leeuwen v. Bank of Am., N.A.*, 304 F.R.D. 691, 697 (D. Utah 2015) ("Rule 41(a) 'allow[s] the dismissal of *all* claims against *one* defendant, so that a defendant may be dismissed

1 2

3

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

from the entire action[.]" (quoting *Hells Canyon Pres. Council v. United States Forest Serv.*, 403 F.3d 683, 687 (9th Cir.2005)). Under LCivR 41(a)(1)(A), a voluntary dismissal under Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1) must also "contain a statement that no answer or motion for summary judgment has been served."

Plaintiff's Voluntary Dismissal does not contain a statement regarding whether an answer or motion for summary judgment has been served. *See* ECF No. 25 at 1. An Answer was filed in this case on October 10, 2024, by Defendant Richardson. Thus, Plaintiff cannot dismiss this action under Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(i).

Further, the dismissal is not signed by all parties who have appeared. Specifically, the dismissal has not been signed by Defendant Andy Louie who appeared *pro se* at the scheduling conference on November 21, 2024. Therefore, it does not meet the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii).

## Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED:

1. Plaintiff's Voluntary Dismissal, ECF No. 25, is **DENIED with** to leave to refile in compliance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) or (a)(2).

IT IS SO ORDERED. The District Court Executive is directed to file this

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The other *pro se* Defendant in this case, Cheryl Louie, has not yet made an appearance.

	Case 2:24-cv-00308-MKD ECF No. 26 filed 12/06/24 PageID.245 Page 3 of 3
1	Order, provide copies to counsel.
2	DATED December 6, 2024.
3	s/Mary K. Dimke
4	MARY K. DIMKE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
	ORDER - 3